Decoding ^ Eurocode 7 Preparing for the 2nd generation Eurocodes

DR ANDREW BOND (GEOCENTRIX) CHAIR B/526 GEOTECHNICS PAST-CHAIR TC250/SC7 GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN

Decoding ^{2nd generation} Eurocode 7 Preparing for the 2nd generation Eurocodes

- The 2nd generation Eurocodes
- Key technical changes in EN 1990
- Timetable for adoption
- Summary of key points

The 2nd generation of Eurocodes PREPARING FOR THE 2ND GENERATION EUROCODES

Target audience for the 2nd generation Eurocodes

- In Europe and in many other countries of the world – structural and geotechnical design is governed by the EN Eurocodes
- The 1st generation of EN Eurocodes was published between 2002 and 2007 and are still current
- The 2nd generation Eurocodes will be published in the mid 2020s

The Eurocodes are intended for use by **designers**, **clients**, **manufacturers**, **constructors**, **relevant authorities** (in exercising their duties in accordance with national or international regulations), **educators**, **software developers**, and committees drafting standards for related product, testing and execution standards. Introduction to the Eurocodes (2nd generation)

Overview of the 2nd generation Eurocode suite



2nd generation Eurocodes Core geotechnical design standards



2nd generation – transformation of Eurocode 7 into 3 Parts



Eurocode: Basis of structural and geotechnical design



Eurocode 7 – Geotechnical design – Part 1: General rules



O

Eurocode 7 – Geotechnical design – Part 2: Ground properties



Eurocode 7 – Geotechnical design – Part 3: Geotechnical structures



Changes planned following latest public enquiries

EN 1990 Basis of structural and geotechnical design to be sub-divided into:

- Part 1 New structures (EN 1990-1)
- Part 2 Assessment of existing structures (EN 1990-2)

Clause 10 of prEN 1997-3 Geotechnical structures "Reinforced ground structures" to be divided into:

- Soil nailed structures (Clause 10)
- Rock bolts and surface support (Clause 11)
- (later clause numbers to be bumped by 1)

Key technical changes in EN 1990 PREPARING FOR THE 2ND GENERATION EUROCODES

Limit states

The following ultimate limit states shall be verified, as relevant:	EN 1990:2002
 failure of the structure or the ground, or any part of them including supports and foundations, by rupture excessive deformation transformation into a mechanism buckling 	STR/GEO Jargon removed
loss of static equilibrium of the structure or any part of it	EQU
failure of the ground by hydraulic heave, internal erosion, or piping caused by excessive hydraulic gradient	HYD
failure caused by fatigue	FAT
failure caused by vibration	
failure caused by other time-dependent effects	

No single Design Approach – even in a country! (Bond and Harris, 2008)

Decoding

"The manner in which equations [for GEO/STR] are applied shall be determined using one of three Design Approaches "Design Approaches apply ONLY to STR and GEO limit states Each nation can choose which one (or more) to allow" EN 1997-1 §2.4.7.3.4.1(1)P

DA2

DA3 Unconfirmed and DA3

adopted for geotechnic structures DA1

Unconfirmed

DA3

Verification of ultimate limit states 16 Ultimate limit states must be verified using: $E_{\rm d} \leq R_{\rm d}$ For ultimate limit states caused by excessive deformation: $E_{\rm d} \leq C_{\rm d,ULS}$ Factors may be applied to material Factor may be applied to **actions**: properties: Verification Cases 1-3 Material factor approach (MFA) (Factored actions) or to effects of actions: or to resistance: Resistance factor approach Verification Case 4 (Factored effects) (RFA)

Partial factors for fundamental design situations (general application)

Action or effect			Partial factors $\gamma_{\rm F}$ and $\gamma_{\rm E}$ for Verification Cases 1-4					
Туре	Group	Symbol	Resulting effect	Struct- ural*Static equilibrium and uplift**Geotechnico design		-		
				VC1	VC2(a)	VC2(b)	VC3	VC4
Permanent	All	ŶG	unfavourable/					
action (G _k)	Water	γ _{G,w}	destabilizing					
	All	$\gamma_{G,stb}$	atabilizina					G _k is not factored
	Water	γ _{Gw,stb}	stabilizing					
	(All)	∕∕G,fav	favourable		On actions			
Prestressing	(P _k)	γ _P						
Variable	All	γ _Q	unfavourable					
action (Q _k)	Water	YQW					On	
	(All)	ŶQ,fav	favourable					effects
Effects-of-actions (E)		γ_{E}	unfavourable	, is not expliced				
		γ _{E,fav}	favourable	$\gamma_{\rm E}$ is not applied				
*Also used for geotechnical design; **Less favourable outcome of (a) and (b) applies Values taken from prEN 1990:2022, Annex A.1								

Partial factors for fundamental design situations (ground properties)

1	8	

Ground property	Symbol	M1	M2			
Soil						
Shear strength in effective stress analysis ($ au_{ m f}$)	$\gamma_{ m au f}$					
Coefficient of peak friction (tan ϕ'_{p})	γ _{tanφ,p}		1.25 k _M			
Peak effective cohesion (c' _p)	γ _{c,p}	1.0				
Coefficient of friction at critical state (tan φ'_{cs})	γ _{tanφ,cs}	1.0	1.1 k _m			
Coefficient of residual friction (tan φ'_r)	$\gamma_{tan\varphi,r}$					
Shear strength in total stress analysis (c_{u})	$\gamma_{\rm CU}$		1.4 k _M			
Rock						
Unconfined compressive strength (q_{u})	$\gamma_{ m qu}$	Sam	ne as γ_{cu}			
Shear strength of rock (τ_r) $\gamma_{\tau r}$			1.25 k _M			
Unconfined compressive strength of rock (q_u)	$\gamma_{ m qu}$	1.0	1.4 k _M			
Discontinuities						
Shear strength of rock discontinuities ($ au_{ m dis}$)	$\gamma_{ au ext{dis}}$	1.0	1.25 k _M			
Coefficient of residual friction (tan $\varphi'_{dis,r}$)	$\gamma_{tan arphi,dis,r}$	1.0	1.1 k _M			

Quences of failure							19
c	aguence ass/ cription	Loss of human life*	Economic, social or environ- mental*	Examples of buildings where	Factor K _F	Reliab- ility index, β_{50}	Prob- ability of failure, P _{f,50}
CC4							
CC3	Higher	High	Very great	people assemble e.g. grandstands, concert halls	1.1	4.3	~10 ⁻⁵
CC2	Normal	Medium	Consider- able	people normally enter e.g. residential and office buildings	1.0	3.8	~10-4
CC1	Lower	Low	Small	people do not normally enter e.g. agricultural buildings, storage buildings	0.9	3.3	~10 ⁻³
CC0	Lowest	Very low	Insignificant	Alternative provisions	s may be	used	
*CC is chosen based on the more severe of these two columns							

Decoding Eurocode 7 @2005-2023 Geocentrix Ltd. All rights reserved

Technical management measures

20

	Design qualification & experience level* (DQL)	Design check level* (DCL)	Execution class (EXC)	Inspection level* (IL)
CC3	DQL3	DCL3		IL3
Higher	Complex design	Extended independent		Extended independent
CC2	DQL2	DCL2	standards	IL2
Normal	Advanced design	Normal independent		Normal independent
CC1	DQL1	DCL1		IL1
Lower	Simple design	Self-checking		Self-checking

*Defined nationally

Additional project-specific requirements may be as specified by the relevant authority or, where not specified, agreed for a specific project by the relevant parties

Timetable for adoption PREPARING FOR THE 2ND GENERATION EUROCODES

Timeline (as of September 2023)



Decoding Eurocode 7 ©2005-2023 Geocentrix Ltd. All rights reservec

Summary of key points PREPARING FOR THE 2ND GENERATION EUROCODES

Improvements in 2nd generation ... EN 1990 Basis of ... design

- Simplification of EQU, STR, and GEO
 - Improves treatment of combined ultimate limit states
- Catering for non-linearity and coupling
 - Incorporates basis of geotechnical design into EN 1990
 - Better treatment of non-linear structural design
- Verification Cases (VCs 1-4)
 - Simple packaging of complicated loading conditions
- Simpler presentation of combinations of actions
 - Greater clarity in the text
- Water actions
 - Clear specification of probabilities of exceedance
- Management measures to achieve the intended structural reliability
 - Flexible system that caters for national preferences

Improvements in 2nd generation ... EN 1997 Geotechnical design

- Organizational changes to Eurocode 7
 - Clearer layout aids ease-of-navigation
 - Greater consistency with EN 1990 aids ease-of-use
- No more Design Approaches!
 - Simpler choice of partial factors
 - Material Factor or Resistance Factor Approach
- Catering for different groundwater conditions
 - Better specification of groundwater pressures
- Separating consequence from hazard
 - Clear distinction between consequence of failure and complexity of the ground
 - Geotechnical Categories now drive meaningful decisions

Decoding ^ Eurocodes Preparing for the 2nd generation Eurocodes

WWW.GEOCENTRIX.CO.UK

WWW.DECODINGEUROCODE7.COM